Bifuctional Amino-Squaramides Catalyzed Asymmetric Spiroannulation Cascades with Aliphatic β , γ -Unsaturated α -Keto Esters: Controlling an Aldehyde Enolate

Xiaoqin Wang, Weijun Yao, Zhihui Yao, and Cheng Ma*

Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, 20 Yugu Road, Hangz[ho](#page-5-0)u 310027, People's Republic of China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-5-0)STRACT: [A quinidine-d](#page-5-0)erived squaramide Ib catalyzed cyclization reaction of β-oxo aldehydes 1 and aliphatic or aromatic $β, γ$ -unsaturated $α$ -keto ester 2 is described. Using cyclic aldehyde substrates, this procedure provided a promising approach to a variety of spiro-3,4-dihydropyrans bearing three continuous quaternary and tertiary stereocenters in moderate to good yield with high stereoselectivities. Substituents on the nitrogen atoms of the squaramide moiety of the catalyst

proved crucial to the reaction outcome. The stereochemistry of the three newly formed chiral centers (trans-selective) of the major product indicates a Micheal addition/hemiacetalization domino sequence for the present annulations.

3,4-Dihydropyrans are important structural motifs in a myriad of biologically interesting natural products and pharmaceutical targets; they also serve as versatile building blocks in organic synthesis.¹ Accordingly, asymmetric transformations enabling expeditious and stereoselective construction of dihydropyran derivativ[es](#page-5-0) are of particular importance in organic synthesis. Over the past few years, several elegant organocatalytic strategies based on a conjugate addition−triggered cascade reaction have been successfully developed for the asymmetric assembly of 3,4-dihydropyrans as well as their bicyclic derivatives.² However, the development of enatioselective synthesis of spiro-3,4-dihydropyrans having all-carbon quaternary stere[o](#page-5-0)centers remains a challenging but yet scarcely explored task.³

We recently reported that $(DHQD)_2$ PYR (hydroquinidine-2,5-diphenyl-[4](#page-5-0),6-pyrimidinediyl diether) can catalyze the annulation reaction of cyclic $β$ -oxo aldehydes 1 and aromatic $β, γ$ -unsaturated α-keto esters 2 (R¹ = aryl), providing access to α-spiropyranones 4 with good to excellent yields and enantioselectivities after PCC oxidation (Scheme 1).⁴ Unfortu-

Scheme 1. Spiroannulation of Cyclic β-Oxo Aldeh[yd](#page-5-0)e 1a and Aliphatic Unsaturated α -Keto Ester 2a

nately, this protocol appears to work only with aromatic α -keto esters, therefore limiting its organic synthesis application. To take on the challenge of controlling an aldehyde enolate in a Michael reaction, $⁵$ especially for constructing a chiral all-carbon</sup> quaternary stereocenter,⁶ we present herein a bifunctional amino-squarami[de](#page-5-0)s catalyzed approach to spirocyclic 3,4 dihydropyrans 5 from $β$ -oxo aldehydes 1 and aliphatic or aromatic $β, γ$ -unsaturated α-keto esters.⁷

Although a free hydroxyl group in quinidine, which typically participates in bifunctional catalys[is](#page-5-0), was found to be deleterious to the enatioselectivity of products 4 in our previous research, triggered by the overwhelming success of thioureas 8 as well as the recent advance of squaramides 9 in asymmetric organocatalysis, we envisaged that the replacement of the hy[d](#page-5-0)roxyl moiety of quinidine with a dual hydrogen-[bo](#page-5-0)nd donor may facilitate less active aliphatic unsaturated α -keto esters to carry out this spiroannulation cascade. To verify this, we first examined the reaction of 2-oxocyclohexanecarbaldehyde (1a) and (E)-ethyl 2-oxohept-3-enoate (2a) in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature, using Et_3N as the base to generate an aldehyde enolnate. While no desired product was formed even in the presence of 1.0 equiv of Et_3N , to our delight, when squaramide Ia (10 mol %) was added, reaction of 2a readily completed to give spirocyclic adduct 3a, which afforded acetal 5a in 85% yield with 98:2 dr upon subsequent treatment with acetyl chloride. On the other hand, after PCC oxidation, hemiacetal 3a was converted to α -spiropyranone 4a as a single diastereomer in 80% yield (Scheme 1).

Encouraged by these results, a set of bifunctional squaramide and thiourea catalysts (Figure 1) were evaluated instead of the combination of Ia and $Et₃N$ to catalyze the same cascade

Received: December 24, 2011 Published: February 21, 2012

Figure 1. Structures of catalysts I and II.

reaction (Table 1). Both squaramides Ib−Ie and thioureas IIa and IIb could prompt the cyclization of 1a with 2a in CH_2Cl_2

Table 1. Optimizing of the Cyclization Conditions^{a}

entry	catalyst	solvent	time b (h)	5a yield c $(\%)$	$5a$ dr ^d	$5a$ ee d $(\%)$
1	Ib	CH_2Cl_2	11	86	99:1	98
$\overline{2}$	Ic	CH ₂ Cl ₂	12	24	99:1	98
3	Id	CH_2Cl_2	15	81	99:1	96
4	Ie	CH ₂ Cl ₂	15	21	99:1	95
5	IIa	CH ₂ Cl ₂	36	17	99:1	97
6	IIb	CH ₂ Cl ₂	36	13	99:1	95
7	Ib	THF	14	86	87:13	80
8	Ib	PhMe	18	83	98:2	97
9^e	Ib	CH,Cl,	36	84	99:1	98

 a^a Reaction conditions: (1) 1a (0.45 mmol), 2a (0.30 mmol), and catalyst I or II (10 mol %) in solvent (3 mL) at -20 °C. (2) Et₃N (0.75 mmol), CH₃COCl (0.45 mmol) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C. $^{\circ}$ Time for the first cyclization step. ^cIsolated yield of **5a**. ^dDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis. ^e 3 mol % catalyst was used.

at −20 °C to give the hemiacetal 3a, which after in situ acylation afforded 5a in varying yields with excellent stereocontrol, respectively (Table 1, entries 1−6). However, the thiourea catalysts IIa and IIb did not function well for the conversion of α -keto esters 2a, resulting in low product yields (Table 1, entries 5 and 6). As for squaramide catalysts Ib−Ie, it was revealed that substitutents on the two nitrogen atoms of the squaramide unit play a key role in this reaction in terms of the product yield. Accordingly, squaramide Ib proved to be the most effective catalyst among those tested, giving access to 5a in 86% yield with excellent stereoselectivity; however, catalysts Ic and Ie, bearing two rigid substitutents on the squaramide moiety, led to a less efficient reaction, presumably because of steric hindrance on efficient geometric arrangement of two substrates in transition state (Table 1, entries 2 and 4). With squaramide Ib as the catalyst, a brief survey of solvents identified CH_2Cl_2 to be somewhat better for this cyclization than toluene or THF (Table 1, entries 7 and 8). Catalyst loadings as low as 3 mol % could be utilized without compromising the selectivity, although a longer reaction time was required to complete the reaction (Table 1, entry 9).

With the optimal conditions in hand, the scope of this transformation is summarized in Table 2. Accordingly, aliphatic $β, γ$ -unsaturated α-keto esters are well-tolerated, rendering a variety of spiocyclic products 5 consistently as a pair of diastereomers in good yield (57−89%) with high enantioselectivities and excellent diastereoselectivities (Table 2, entries 1, 2, and 4–7). Notably, 2-oxobut-3-enoates $(R^2 = H)$ were also

Table 2. Asymmetric Synthesis of 5 from Aliphatic α -Keto Esters 2^a

	entry product 5	$time^b$	yield ^c dr^{d}		ee^d
		(h)	$(\%)$		$(\%)$
$\mathbf 1$	AcQ CO ₂ Et O 5a $n-Pr$	11	86	99:1	96
$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	AcQ CO ₂ Et Ō. 5 _b	11	85	98:2	96
3	AcQ CO ₂ Et 5c	36	57	84:16	60
$\overline{4}$	AcO CO ₂ Et O 5d	16	84	99:1	96
5	AcO CO ₂ Et O 5e	45	71	89:11	98
6	AcC CO ₂ Et O C_7H_{15} Ņ 5f CO ₂ Et	17	72	99:1	>99
$\overline{7}$	AcO CO ₂ Et O 5g	48	89	99:1	>99
8	AcQ CO ₂ Et $\phi \sim \phi$ 5h	45	65	94:6	96
9	AcQ ∠CO ₂ Et 5i	11	81	98:2	70
10	CO ₂ Et 5j	13	83	>99:1	62

^aReaction conditions: (1) 1 (0.45 mmol), 2 (0.30 mmol), and catalyst **Ib** (10 mol %) in solvent (3 mL) at −20 °C. (2) Et₃N (0.75 mmol), CH₃COCl (0.45 mmol) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C for 2 h. b Time for the first cyclization strep. "Yield of isolated product 5. "Determined by chiral HPLC analysis.

suitable substrates, and high yield and dr values were attained. However, the lack of a substituent at the remote terminal site resulted in a less efficient stereocontrol in the Micheal addition step, thus providing the products with moderate enatioselectivities (Table 2, entries 9–10). Moreover, various cyclic $β$ -oxo

^aReaction conditions: (1) 1 (0.45 mmol), 6 (0.30 mmol), and catalyst Ib (10 mol %) in solvent (3 mL) at −20 °C. (2) Et₃N (0.75 mmol), CH₃COCl (0.45 mmol) at 0 °C. ^bTime for the first cyclization step. ^cYield of isolated product 7. ^dDetermined by chiral HPLC analysis.

aldehydes were reacted with aliphatic α -keto esters to furnish the targeted heterocyclic compounds in good yields and high ee-values up to 99%, except seven-membered substrate was only in 57% yield with 60% ee and 84:16 dr (Table 2, entry 3). Substituents and heteroatom could be introduced onto the sixmembered scaffolds without considerably affect[in](#page-1-0)g stereoinduction (Table 2, entries 4−7). In addition to ketone substrates, the cyclization of a lactone also proceeded smoothly, after an in situ acet[yla](#page-1-0)tion, affording the desired 5h in 65% yield with 96% ee and 94:6 dr (Table 2, entry 8).

Pleasingly, aromatic β , γ -unsaturated α -keto esters 6 with various steric and electronic pro[pe](#page-1-0)rties were tolerated by the optimum catalyst Ib (Table 3). Use of either electronwithdrawing or electron-donating groups on the aryl moiety had virtually no effect on the cyclization cascade, leading to the formation of products 7 in either case in good yield. Indeed, the substitution pattern of the arene had little effect on the enantioselectivity, although the reactivity of the reaction may be affected a little (Table 3, 1−4). Additionally, furan-substituted ester had also successfully been employed in this process, albeit requiring an extended reaction time to obtain 7e in acceptable yield with good diastereo- and enantioselectivity (Table 2, entry 5).

In an attempt to broaden the substrate scope for cons[tr](#page-1-0)ucting an all-carbon quaternary stereocenter, acyclic aldehyde 8 with an ester substituent at the α -position was examined, as shown in Scheme 2. Under the optimized reaction conditions, the

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 3,4-Dihydropyran Employing Acyclic Aldehyde 8

desired 3,4-dihydropyran 9 was obtained in 67% yield and with only 57:43 dr. Fortunately, the enatioselectivties of the two diastereomers of 9 were as good as 96 and 88%, respectively.

The structure and configuration of the major enantiometric isomer was assigned by a single-crystal X-ray analysis of (−)-5d. ¹⁰ Mechanically, this stereochemical outcome, in which the three newly formed chiral centers of 5d are arranged in a trans-c[onf](#page-5-0)iguration, probably excludes an inverse electrondemand hetero-Diels-Alder reaction¹¹ and indicates a Micheal addition-hemiacetalization sequence for the present procedure. Accordingly, a plausible transition st[ate](#page-5-0) model for the Micheal addition leading to the formation of 5d is shown here (Figure 2). It is proposed that α -keto ester is bonded to the squaramide

Figure 2. Proposed mode of action of catalyst Ib leading to the formation of $(-)$ -5d.

motif, placing the alkene side chain away from the C-9 center of the catslyst Ib, while the cyclic β -oxo aldehyde is deprotonated and directed by the tertiary nitrogen atom of Ib for subsequent attacking onto the Re-face of the $C=C$ bond.¹²

In summary, we have developed a highly stereoselective domino cyclization reaction¹³ of β-oxo ald[ehy](#page-6-0)des and β,γunsaturated α -keto esters catalyzed by amino-squaramide catalyst. Using cyclic aldehy[de](#page-6-0) substrates, this reaction offered a promising protocol to spiro-3,4-dihydropyrans 5 and 7 with three quaternary−tertiary stereocenters in one pot. The present annulation reaction was reasoned to proceed via an enatioselective Michael addition followed by a diastereoselective hemiacetalization. Compared with $(DHQD)_{2}$ PYR, the

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note and The Second S

bifunctional catalyst Ib performed more effectively for the current reaction in view of the scope of β , γ -unsaturated α -keto ester component as well as the stereocontrol. Further studies on the application of this methodology to complex natural compound syntheses are currently underway in our laboratory and will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Synthesis of Racemic Ethyl 1,7-Dioxo-5-propyl-2 oxaspiro[5.5]undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (4a). The aldehyde 1a (57 mg, 0.45 mmol) and α -keto esters 2a (51 mg, 0.30 mmol) were dissolved in dry dichloromethane (3 mL) at -20 °C under a N₂ atmosphere. Catalyst Ia (8.7 mg, 10 mol %) and Et_3N (42 μ L, 0.30 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h until complete consumption of 2a (as observed by TLC). The reaction was quenched with 5 mL of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with dichloromethane (5 mL \times 3). After being washed by brines (10 mL \times 3), the organic phase was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 5 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , followed by the addition of pyridine chlorochromate (0.30 mmol, 65 mg), and the resulting mixture was heated at reflux for several hours. On completion of the reaction, the mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with ether, and quickly passed through a short pad of diatomite with ether as the eluent. The crude obtained was concentrated under vacuum and purified by column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) to afford racemic 4a as a white solid (71 mg, 80%): $^1\rm H$ NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, \text{TMS}, \text{ppm}) \delta 6.47 \text{ (d, } J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.29 \text{ (q, } J =$ 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.12 (m, 1H), 2.59 (m, 2H), 2.27 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 3H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.34 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.25 (m, 1H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 205.7, 167.3, 159.9, 140.9, 117.6, 61.8, 58.5, 39.4, 35.8, 30.8, 30.2, 27.0, 20.6, 20.0, 14.1, 13.9; HRMS (EI) $[C_{16}H_{22}O_5]^+$, calc 294.1467, found 294.1466. Determined by HPLC with Chiralpak IA−H column at 254 nm (hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 0.8 mL/min), $t_{R1} = 27.9$ min, $t_{R2} = 30.3 \text{ min.}$

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Racemic Spiro-3,4 **dihydropyrans 5.** The aldehyde 1a (57 mg, 0.45 mmol) and α -keto esters 2a (51 mg, 0.30 mmol) were dissolved in dry dichloromethane (3 mL) at -20 °C under a N₂ atmosphere. Catalyst Ia $(8.7 \text{ mg}, 10 \text{ mol})$ %) and Et₃N (42 μ L, 0.30 mmol) were added, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 12 h until complete consumption of 2a (as observed by TLC). Triethylamine (62 μ L, 0.45 mmol) and acetyl chloride (32 μ L, 0.45 mmol) were then added at 0 °C without isolation. The resulting mixtures were stirred at 0° C for 2 h, and then quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO_{3} (5 mL), and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL \times 3). The combined extracts were dried with anhydrous MgSO4, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (hexane/AcOEt $= 4:1$) to afford racemic 5a (86 mg, 85%) as a white solid.

General Procedure for the Asymmetric Synthesis of Spiro-3,4-dihydropyrans 5, 7, and 3,4-Dihydropyrans 9 Catalyzed by **Ib.** The aldehydes 1 (0.45 mmol) and aliphatic $β, γ$ -unsaturated α-keto esters 2 (0.30 mmol) were dissolved in dry CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) at −20 °C under a N_2 atmosphere. Catalyst Ib (19 mg, 10 mol %) was added, and the mixture was stirred at the same temperature for several hours until complete consumption of 2 (as observed by TLC). Afterward, triethylamine (104 μ L, 0.75 mmol) and acetyl chloride (32 μ L, 0.45 mmol) were added at 0 °C without isolation. The resulting mixtures were stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, and then quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (5 mL), and extracted with dichloromethane (5 mL \times 3). The combined extracts were dried with anhydrous MgSO₄, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by silica gel chromatography to afford compound 5.

(1R,5R,6S)-Ethyl 1-Acetoxy-7-oxo-5-propyl-2-oxaspiro[5.5] undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (5a). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) as a white solid (87 mg, 86% yield, 99:1 dr, 98% ee): $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –27.5 (c 0.75,

CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.46 (s, 1H), 6.22 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.24 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.57− 2.53 (m, 1H), 2.46−2.42 (m, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 2.04−1.91 (m, 3H), 1.78−1.56 (m, 5H), 1.46−1.35 (m, 2H), 1.30 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (t, $I = 7.2$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 210.3, 168.4, 161.6, 139.4, 115.1, 93.4, 61.2, 51.9, 40.4, 37.8, 31.3, 27.6, 25.6, 21.4, 20.8, 14.1, 14.0; HRMS (EI) $[C_{18}H_{26}O_6]^+$, calc 338.1729, found 338.1729. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 8.6 min (minor), t_R $= 12.8$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10R)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-10-cyclohexyl-1-oxo-7 oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (5b). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/ 10) as a white solid (93 mg, 85% yield, 98:2 dr, 96% ee): $[\alpha]_D^{20} - 19.4$ $(c 0.50, CHCl₃)$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.18 (d, J $= 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 4.25 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (dd, J₁ = 2.0 Hz, $J_1 = 2.0$ Hz 1H), 2.49–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.39–2.34 (m, 1H), 2.33– 2.23 (m, 1H), 2.20−2.16 (m, 1H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.99−1.87 (m, 3H), 1.81−1.63 (m, 3H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.29−0.96 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 219.7, 167.8, 161.3, 141.1, 113.9, 113.8, 95.3, 61.3, 51.9, 46.0, 38.5, 37.8, 33.4, 30.9, 26.4, 26.2, 26.0, 23.5, 20.7, 18.9, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) $[C_{20}H_{28}O_6 + Na]^+$, calc 387.1778, found 387.1766. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 8.3 min (minor), $t_R = 14.4$ min (major).

(1R,5R,6S)-Ethyl 1-Acetoxy-5-cyclopropyl-7-oxo-2 oxaspiro[5.6]dodec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (5c). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) as a white solid (63 mg, 60% yield, 84:16 dr, 60% ee): $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –46.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.34 (s, 1H), 6.26 (d, J = 9 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.80−2.76 (m, 1H), 2.62−2.58 (m, 1H), 2.25−2.20 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.05−1.93 (m, 2H), 1.82−1.78 (m, 2H), 1.70−1.61 (m, 3H), 1.43−1.41 (m, 1H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.90−0.86 (m, 1H), 0.62−0.54 (m, 2H), 0.26−0.18 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3, TMS, ppm) δ 212.6, 168.8, 161.9, 139.3, 117.0, 94.1,, 61.5, 55.2, 44.6, 42.8, 31.7, 31.0, 26.7, 26.4, 25.0, 22.8, 21.1, 14.4, 14.3, 12.0, 6.3, 4.0; HRMS (EI) $[C_{19}H_{26}O_6]^+$, calc 350.1729, found 350.1729. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS− H column at 254 nm (hexane/*i*-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 1.0 mL/ min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 8.4$ min (minor), $t_R = 12.0$ min (major).

(9S,10R,14R)-Methyl 10-Acetoxy-14-cyclopropyl-8-oxo-1,4,11-trioxadispiro[4.3.5.1]pentadec-12-ene-12-carboxylate (5d). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/15) as a white solid (97 mg, 84% yield, 99:1 dr, 96% ee): $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –174.7 (c 0.50, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 4.28 (q, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.28−3.20 (m, 1H), 3.10−3.03 (m, 1H), 2.50−2.41 (m, 2H), 2.28−2.21 (m, 1H), 1.89 (s, 3H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.84−0.77 (m, 1H), 0.59−0.52 (m, 1H), 0.35−0.24 (m, 2H), 0.17−0.12 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 198.9, 168.2, 161.5, 143.8, 140.3, 133.8, 132.8, 128.6, 127.6, 126.8, 116.1, 95.1, 6.7, 61.5, 48.9, 46.7, 26.0, 22.5, 20.7, 14.2, 11.8, 5.1, 4.3; HRMS (EI) $[C_{22}H_{24}O_6]^+$, calc 384.1573, found 384.1577. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 11.4 min (minor), t_R $= 17.5$ min (major).

(1R,5R,6R)-Ethyl 1-Acetoxy-5-cyclohexyl-11-oxo-2,8 dioxaspiro[5.5]undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (5e). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 75/25) as a white solid (81 mg, 71% yield, 89:11 dr, 98% ee):

 $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –18.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.21−6.20 (m, 2H), 4.27−4.21 (m, 2H), 4.16−4.09 (m, 2H), 4.03 (q, $J = 6$ Hz, 1H), 3.81 (t, $J = 12$ Hz, 1H), 2.92 (q, $J = 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.67−2.59 (m, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.96 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H), 1.78− 1.72 (m, 2H), 1.64 (d, $I = 10.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.53 (d, $I = 12.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.49−1.45 (m, 1H), 1.31 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.22−1.02 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 206.9, 168.0, 161.2, 140.5, 113.6, 93.9, 66.9, 66.2, 61.4, 52.0, 44.8, 40.0, 37.3, 34.0, 30.3, 26.6, 26.4, 25.9, 20.8, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) $[C_{20}H_{28}O_7 + Na]^+$, calc 403.1727, found 403.1721. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 80:20, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 10.5 min (major), t_R $= 11.7$ min (minor).

(1R,5R,6R)-Diethyl 1-Acetoxy-5-heptyl-11-oxo-2-oxa-8 azaspiro[5.5]undec-3-ene-3,8-dicarboxylate (5f). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate $80/20$) as a white solid $(101 \text{ mg}, 72\% \text{ yield}, 99.1 \text{ dr}, \text{>} 99\% \text{ ee})$: $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –27.6 (c 1.04, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.23 (d, J = 28 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 4.24–4.11 (m, 5H), 4.02 $(t, J = 5.6$ Hz, 1H), 3.57 (s, 1H), 3.49 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.97–2.74 (m, 2H), 2.53−2.44 (m, 1H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.51 (s, 2H), 1.35−1.25 (m, 18H), 0.89–0.83 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 171.9, 171.5, 169.2, 168.8, 162.8, 133.6, 122.7, 121.4, 90.9, 90.7, 61.3, 60.9, 60.8, 48.5, 46.8, 32.4, 30.5, 28.1, 26.1, 21.0, 20.9, 19.1, 18.9, 14.2, 14.1, 14.0, 8.1, 8.1; HRMS (EI) $[C_{24}H_{37}NO_8]^+$, calc 467.2519, found 467.2517. 1721. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm $(hexane/i-ProH = 85:15, flow rate = 0.8 mL/min)$, for major diastereomer: $t_R = 5.6$ min (major), $t_R = 8.4$ min (minor).

(9S,10R,14R)-Methyl 10-Acetoxy-14-cyclopropyl-8-oxo-1,4,11-trioxadispiro[4.3.5.1]pentadec-12-ene-12-carboxylate (5g). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 70/30) as a white solid (105 mg, 89% yield, 99:1 dr, >99% ee): [α]_D²⁰ –25.6 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.81 (s, 1H), 6.23 (d, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, J $= 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.05−3.96 (m, 4H), 2.95−2.88 (m, 1H), 2.56−2.50 (m, 1H), 2.42 (dd, $J_1 = 2.8$ Hz, $J_2 = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.08–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.90 (dd, $J_1 = 3.6$ Hz, $J_2 = 10.4$ Hz, 1H), 1.30 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz,3H), 1.01 (m, 1H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 0.61−0.54 (m, 2H), 0.24−0.16 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 208.7, 168.1, 161.6, 138.6, 116.2, 106.9, 92.3, 64.7, 64.4, 61.2, 52.1, 36.8, 33.9, 31.5, 22.5, 20.7, 14.1, 14.0, 10.8, 7.1, 3.0; HRMS (EI) $[C_{20}H_{26}O_8]^+$, calc 394.1628, found 394.1610. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm $(hexane/i-ProH = 90:10, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min)$, for major diastereomer: $t_R = 19.3$ min (minor), $t_R = 30.0$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10R)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-10-isopropyl-1-oxo-2,7 dioxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (5h). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 70/30) as a white solid (64 mg, 65% yield, 94:6 dr, 96% ee): $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –52.9 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.15 (s, 1H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 4.47−4.40 (m, 2H), 4.30−4.25 (m, 2H), 2.73 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (m, 1H), 2.21−2.17 (m, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.65−1.58 (m, 1H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.94 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 178.1, 167.9, 161.3, 141.5, 113.5, 94.4, 66.8, 61.8, 47.5, 45.9, 28.7, 22.0, 21.3, 21.1, 21.0, 14.3; HRMS (EI) $[C_{17}H_{24}O_6]^+$, calc 324.1573, found 324.1569. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 80:20, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 11.0$ min (minor), $t_R = 21.8$ min (major).

(5S,6R)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-9-methyl-1-oxo-7-oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (5i). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) as a white solid (72 mg, 81% yield, > 99:1 dr, 70% ee): $[a]_D^{20}$ +55.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 5.99 (s, 1H),

4.25 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 2.47 (d, $J = 18.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.34 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.00 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1H), 1.75−1.69 $(m, 1H)$, 1.93–1.86 $(m, 1H)$, 1.32 $(t, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 3H)$; ¹³C NMR (100) MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 217.6, 168.6, 162.4, 136.5, 121.4, 91.5, 61.0, 49.7, 38.5, 37.9, 29.7, 20.9, 18.9, 18.5, 14.2; HRMS (EI) $[C_{15}H_{20}O_6]^+$, calc 296.1260, found 296.1257. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm $(hexane/i-ProH = 90:10, flow rate = 0.8 mL/min)$, for major diastereomer: $t_R = 16.6$ min (major), $t_R = 18.9$ min (minor).

(5S,6R)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-1-oxo-7-oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8 carboxylate (5j). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) as a white solid (70 mg, 83% yield, > 99:1 dr, 62% ee): $[a]_D^2$ ⁰ –37.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.16 (q, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.07 $(s, 1H)$, 4.26 (m, 2H), 2.50 (dd, $J_1 = 19$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.34 (m, 3H), 2.12 (m, 1H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 2.09 (m, 1H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.74 (m, 1H), 1.33 (t, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 217.9, 168.6, 161.6, 142.6, 110.0, 92.4, 61.7, 49.0, 38.8, 30.3, 29.6, 21.1, 19.2, 14.4; HRMS (EI) $[C_{14}H_{18}O_6]^+$, calc 282.1103, found 282.1101. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 90:10, flow rate = 0.8 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 28.7 min (major), t_R $= 34.4$ min (minor).

(1R, 5S, 6S)-Methyl 1-Acetoxy-7-oxo-5-phenyl-2 oxaspiro[5.5]undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate (7a). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/15) as a white solid (87 mg, 81% yield, 99:1 dr, 96% ee): $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –60.1 (c 0.51, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.30−7.19 (m, 5H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.66−2.58 (m, 1H), 2.30−2.23 (m, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.91−1.87 (m, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.61−1.54 (m, 1H), 1.30−1.10 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 210.5, 168.3, 161.9, 140.9, 137.6, 130.1, 128.0, 127.5, 115.7, 92.8, 52.9, 52.3, 44.0, 40.6, 31.5, 28.8, 25.3, 22.6, 20.8, 20.7, 14.0; HRMS (ESI) $[C_{20}H_{22}O_6 + Na]^+$, calc 381.1309, found 381.1298. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralpack AS−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = $90:10$, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 18.8$ min (minor), $t_R = 31.0$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10S)-Methyl 6-Acetoxy-10-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1-oxo-7-oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (7b). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/15) as a white solid (93 mg, 83% yield, 99:1 dr, 94% ee): $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –70.2 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.00 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.26 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 4.23 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.23−2.12 (m, 2H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.92−1.85 (m, 1H), 1.82− 1.69 (m, 2H), 0.74–0.67 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 219.6, 168.0, 161.6, 159.1, 142.8, 129.5, 129.2, 114.9, 114.0, 94.5, 55.2, 52.9, 52.4, 45.2, 39.5, 23.8, 20.7, 18.8; HRMS (EI) $[C_{20}H_{22}O_7]^+$, calc 374.1366, found 374.1366. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = $85:15$, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 18.4$ min (minor), $t_R = 34.3$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10S)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-1-oxo-10-o-tolyl-7-oxaspiro- [4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (7c). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/ 15) as a white solid (87 mg, 78% yield, 97:3 dr, 98% ee): $\lbrack \alpha \rbrack_{\text{D}}^{20}$ –38.1 (c 0.49, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.18 (m, 4H), 6.22 (s, 1H), 6.16 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (m, 1H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 2.14(m, 1H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 1.90 (m, 1H), 1.73 (m, 1H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 219.6, 168.0, 161.2, 142.6, 136.2, 135.9, 131.1, 129.7, 127.6, 126.0, 116.5, 94.6, 61.4, 52.4, 39.0, 24.2, 20.7, 19.8, 19.0, 14.1; HRMS (ESI) $[C_{21}H_{24}O_6 + Na]^+$, calc 395.1465, found 395.1456. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD−H column at 254 nm (hexane/*i*-PrOH = 85:15, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 12.2$ min (minor), $t_R = 13.0$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10S)-Methyl 6-Acetoxy-10-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-oxo-7 oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (7d). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/ 15) as a white solid (94 mg, 87% yield, 98:2 dr, 97% ee): $[\alpha]_D^2$ ²⁰ –44.1 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.10–6.98 $(m, 4H)$, 6.24 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 6.14 (s, 1H), 4.28 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.28−2.13 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 1.93−1.85 (m, 1H), 1.79−1.74 (m, 2H), 0.75−0.67 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 219.1, 167.9, 163.5 (J _{C−F} = 246.3 Hz), 161.5, 143.1, 133.2 (J _{C−F} = 2.7 Hz), 130.1 (J _{C−F} = 7.1 Hz), 115.7 (J _{C−F} = 20.6 Hz), 114.1, 94.4, 52.8, 52.4, 45.1, 39.4, 23.7, 20.7, 18.8; HRMS (EI) $[C_{19}H_{19}FO_6]^+$, calc 362.1166, found 362.1176. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD−H column at 254 nm (hexane/*i*-PrOH = 85:15, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 14.0$ min (minor), $t_R = 24.8$ min (major).

(5S,6R,10R)-Ethyl 6-Acetoxy-10-(furan-2-yl)-1-oxo-7 oxaspiro[4.5]dec-8-ene-8-carboxylate (7e). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 85/ 15) as a yellow solid (71 mg, 68% yield, 98:2 dr, 93% ee): $[\alpha]_D^2$ -36.7 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 7.32 (s, 1H), 6.33 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.21 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.15 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 4.31−4.26 (m, 3H), 2.27−2.20 (m, 3H), 2.10 (s, 3H), 1.90−1.80 (m, 1H), 1.77−1.71 (m, 1H), 1.36 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.02-0.94 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 219.1, 167.9, 163.5, 161.5, 161.1, 143.1, 133.2, 133.1, 130.1, 130.0, 115.7, 115.5, 114.1, 94.4, 52.8, 52.4, 45.1, 39.4, 23.7, 20.7, 18.8; HRMS (EI) $[C_{18}H_{20}O_7]^+$, calc 348.1209, found 348.1222. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD−H column at 254 nm (hexane/*i*-PrOH = 85:15, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: $t_R = 11.8$ min (minor), $t_R = 14.0$ min (major).

(2R,3S,4R)-Diethyl 2-Acetoxy-3-ethyl-4-heptyl-3,4-dihydro-2H-pyran-3,6-dicarboxylate (9). The title compound was obtained according to the general procedure described above after flash column chromatography (eluting with hexane/ethyl acetate 90/10) as a white solid (84 mg, 68% yield, 57:43 dr, 96% e $\rm e_{major}$, 88% e $\rm e_{minor}$): $\rm [\alpha]_{\rm D}^{-20}$ -84.2 (c 1.2, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 6.57 (s, 1H), 6.14 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.27−4.12 (m, 4H), 2.88−2.80 (m, 1H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.71−1.52 (m, 4H), 1.34−1.22 (m, 16H), 1.02 $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 0.91–0.85 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS, ppm) δ 171.9, 171.9, 168.7, 168.5, 162.0, 162.0, 139.2, 138.8, 115.2, 114.8, 92.9, 91.3, 61.4, 61.3, 61.2, 60.7, 51.3, 48.4, 34.8, 34.5, 31.7, 31.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.2, 29.1, 29.1, 28.3, 27.9, 27.4, 23.3, 22.7, 22.6, 20.8, 20.7, 14.1, 14.0, 14.0, 9.3, 8.6; HRMS (EI) $[C_{17}H_{24}O_6]^+$, calc 324.1573, found 324.1569. The dr and ee values were determined by HPLC with Chiralcel OD−H column at 254 nm (hexane/i-PrOH = 95:5, flow rate = 1.0 mL/min), for major diastereomer: t_R = 4.2 min (major), $t_R = 4.7$ min (minor); for minor diastereomer: $t_R = 6.2$ min (major), $t_R = 11.7$ min (minor).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Experiment procedures, copies of HPLC chromatograms, ¹H and 13 C NMR spectra of the products, and X-ray crystallographic data of $(-)$ -5d. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR IN[FORMATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mcorg@zju.edu.cn.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:mcorg@zju.edu.cn)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21072166), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2010QNA3010), and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For selected reviews, see: (a) Gunatilaka, A. A. L. J. Nat. Prod. 2006, 69, 509. (b) Yet, L. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4283. (c) Yeung, K.- S.; Paterson, I. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4237. (d) Kang, E. J.; Lee, E. Chem. Rev. 2005, 105, 4348. (e) Goldring, W. P. D; Pattenden, G. Acc. Chem. Res. 2006, 39, 354.

(2) (a) Calter, M. A.; Wang, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2205. (b) Lu, D.; Li, Y.; Gong, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 6900. (c) Wang, J.-J.; Hu, Z.- P.; Lou, C.-L.; Liu, J.-L.; Li, X.-M.; Yang, M. Tetrahedron 2011, 67, 4578. (d) Enders, D.; Wang, C.; Yang, X.; Raabe, G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 2869. (e) Chen, X.-K.; Zheng, C.-W.; Zhao, S.-L.; Chai, Z.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Zhao, G.; Cao, W.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2010, 352, 1648. (f) Wang, X.; Fang, T.; Tong, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5361. (g) Franke, P. T.; Richter, B.; Jøgensen, K. A. Chem.-Eur. J. 2008, 14, 6317. (h) Lee, Y.; Seo, S. W.; Kim, S.-G. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2671.

(3) For reviews of stereocontrolled synthesis of spirocyclics, see: (a) Pradhan, R.; Patra, M.; Behera, A. K.; Mishra, B. K.; Behera, R. K. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 779. (b) Sinibaldi, M.-E.; Canet, I. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 4391. (c) Kotha, S.; Deb, A. C.; Lahiri, K.; Manivannan, E. Synthesis 2009, 165. For other elegant examples, see: (d) Duque, M. M. S.; Baslé, O.; NiIsambert, N.; Gaudel-Siri, A.; Génisson, Y.; Plaquevent, J.-C.; Rodriguez, J.; Constantieux, T. Org. Lett. 2011, 12, 3296. (e) Hong, B.-C.; Kotame, P.; Lee, G.-H. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5758. (f) Chen, W.-B.; Wu, Z.-J.; Pei, Q.-L.; Cun, L.-F.; Zhang, X.-M.; Yuan, W.-C. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3132.

(4) Yao, W.; Lianjie Pan, L.; Yihua, Wu, Y.; Ma, C. Org. Lett. 2010, 11, 2422.

(5) For reviews on organocatalyzed Michael additions, see: (a) Tsogoeva, S. B. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 1701. (b) Sulzer-Mossé, S.; Alexakis, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3123. (c) Vicario, J. L.; Badía, D.; Carrillo, L. Synthesis 2007, 2065. (d) Almasi, D; Alonso, D. A; Nájera, C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2007, 18, 299. (e) Enders, D; Wang, C.; Liebich, J. X Chem.-Eur. J 2009, 15, 11058.

(6) For reviews on enantioselective catalytic formation of quaternary stereogenic centers, see: (a) Corey, E. J.; Guzman-Perez, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 388. (b) Douglas, C. J.; Overman, L. E. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2004, 101, 5363. (c) Trost, B. M.; Jiang, C. H. Synthesis 2006, 369. (d) Cozzi, P. G.; Hilgraf, R.; Zimmermann, N. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 5969.

(7) For our related research, see: Yao, W.; Wu, Y.; Wang, G.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9713.

(8) For reviews of thioureas, see: (a) Doyle, A. G.; Jacobsen, E. N. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5713. (b) Takemoto, Y. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 4299.

 (9) For reviews of squaramides, see: (a) J. Alemán, J.; A. Parra, A.; Jiang, H.; Jorgensen, K. A. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6890. (b) R. I. Storer, R. I.; C. Aciro, C.; Jones, L. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 2330. For pioneering report, see: (c) malerich, J. P.; Hagihara, K.; Rawal, V. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14416.

(10) Chemical correlations have been conducted to verified the absolute configuration of compound 7: after PCC oxidation, the corresponding hemiacetal precursor of 7a (Table 3, entry 1) was transformed into (−)-methyl 1,7-dioxo-5-phenyl-2-oxaspiro[5.5] undec-3-ene-3-carboxylate, whose specific rotation data and chiral HPLC analysis correlated with those reported pr[ev](#page-2-0)iously. See the Supporting Information for details.

(11) For organocatalyzed hetero-Diels−Alder reaction of unsaturated α -keto esters, see: (a) Juhl, K.; Jøgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1498. (b) Xu, Z.; Liu, L.; Wheeler, K.; Wang, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3484.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Note and The Second S

(12) For a discussion on organocatalytic conjugated addition using $\alpha,\!\beta$ -unsaturated acylphosphonate as Michael acceptor, see: Jiang, H.; Paixão, M.-W.; Monge, D.; Jøgensen, K. A. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, 132, 2775.

(13) For reviews of domino organocatalytic reaction, see: (a) Enders, D.; Grondal, C.; Hüttl, M. R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1570. (b) Grondal, C.; Jeanty, M.; Enders, D. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 167.